





1st September

Prof. Hesham Elghazaly

Professor of Clinical Oncology Head of the Presidential Initiative of Woman's Health Head Of Research Center (MASRI) Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University BGICS President

- Incidence, Early Onset & Definition.
- Multimodality Approach .. How did we start?
- Total Neoadjuvant Therapy Rationale.
- Total Neoadjuvant therapy outcomes... Evidence-based.
- Watch & Wait approach ... Hope or Hype?
- Personalization.

Rectal Cancer ... Incidence

- Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer worldwide and the second most common cause of cancer-related death.*
- Within the next decade ,It is estimated that 1 in 10 colon cancers and 1 in 4 rectal cancers will be diagnosed in adults younger than 50 years and mostly presented with advanced stages.**

*Globocan 2020

**(Increasing disparities in the age-related incidences of colon and rectal cancers in the United States)Bailey C.E.et al., 2015

Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer



ge II or III endoscopic

- Incidence, Early Onset & Definition
- Multimodality Approach .. How did we start?
- Total Neoadjuvant Therapy Rationale
- Total Neoadjuvant therapy outcomes... Evidence-based
- Watch & Wait approach ... Hope or Hype?
- Personalization.



*van Gijn W, et al. Preoperative radiotherapy combined with total mesorectal excision for resectable rectal cancer: 12-year follow-up of the multicentre, randomised controlled TME trial. Lancet Oncol. 2011



*Bosset JF, et al; EORTC Radiotherapy Group Trial 22921. Chemotherapy with preoperative radiotherapy in rectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2006

Multimodality Approach .. How did we start?



Fig 3. Cumulative incidence of local recurrences after macroscopically complete local tumor resection in the intention-to-treat population (A) and according to treatment received (B). CRT, chemoradiotherapy; preop, preoperative; postop, postoperative.

Total Neoadjuvant Therapy Era



- Incidence, Early Onset & Definition
- Multimodality Approach .. How did we start?
- Total Neoadjuvant Therapy Rationale
- Total Neoadjuvant therapy outcomes... Evidence-based
- Watch & Wait approach ... Hope or Hype?
- Personalization.

Total Neoadjuvant Therapy Rationale

- TNT approach : The use of multi-agent chemotherapy followed by chemoradiation (usually long-course) and surgery Aiming at:
- \checkmark Tumor downsizing and possible pCR.
- ✓ Improved local control, and the ability to consider nonoperative treatment (WW) if the patient declines surgery.
- \checkmark Increased possibility of sphincter preservation .
- ✓ Increased compliance with chemotherapy (because of the greater tolerability in the preoperative as compared with the postoperative setting).
- ✓ Decrease Distant metastasis by moving the systemic adjuvant chemotherapy to the interval between CCRT and TME, because of higher neoadjuvant compliance and the uncertain value of adjuvant chemotherapy.

^{*}Jason Liu.,et al , Patterns of Care for Patients With Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer Treated with Total Neoadjuvant Therapy at Predominately Academic Centers between 2016-2020: An NCDB Analysis,2023.

- Incidence, Early Onset & Definition.
- Multimodality Approach .. How did we start?
- Total Neoadjuvant Therapy Rationale.
- Total Neoadjuvant therapy outcomes... Evidence-based.
- Watch & Wait approach ... Hope or Hype?
- Personalization.

Total Neoadjuvant therapy outcomes...



RAPIDO and PRODIGE23

Preoperative chemotherapy can increase the rate of Pathologic Complete Response Rate in advanced rectal cancer



#ASCO20 Sildes are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

PRESENTED BY: Dr. Geke Hospers and Dr. Thierry Conroy

Abstract #4006 and 4007

Clinical Outcomes	RAPIDO (Exp vs. Std)	PRODIGE-23 (Exp vs. Std)	PROSPECT (Exp vs. Std)		
Median follow-up	4.6 yrs	4.6 yrs	4.8 yrs		
Primary endpoint	3-yrs DrTF	3-yrs DFS	5-yrs DFS		
3-yrs Primary event (Δ%) *	23.7% vs. 30.4% (6.7%)	76% vs. 69% (7%)	n/a		
5-yrs	27.8% vs. 34% (7%)	73.1% vs. 65.5% (7.6%)	80.8% vs. 78.6% (2.2%)		
7-yrs	n/a	67.6% vs. 62.5% (5.1%)	n/a		
* HR (95% CI); <i>p</i> value	0.75 [0.60–0.96]; <i>p</i> = 0.019	0.69 [0.49–0.97]; <i>p</i> = 0.034	0.92 [0.72–1.14]; <i>p</i> = 0.005 for noninferiority		
3-yrs MFS	80% vs. 73.2%	79% vs. 72%	n/a		
5-yrs	77% vs. 69.6%	77.6% vs. 67.7%	n/a		
7-yrs	n/2	73.6% vs. 65.4%	n/a		
pCR rate	28.4% vs. 14.3%	27.5% vs. 11.7%	21.9% vs. 24.3%		
Local relapse rate	12% vs. 8% at 5 yrs	5.3% vs. 8.1% at 7 vrs	1.8% vs. 1.6% at 5 yrs		
Distant relapse rate	23% vs. 30.4% at 5 yrs	20.7% vs. 27.7% at 7 yrs	n/a		
3-yrs OS	89.1% vs. 88.8%	91% vs. 88%	n/a		
5-yrs OS	81.7% vs. 80.2%	86.9% vs. 80%	89.5% vs. 90.2%		
7-yrs OS	n/a	81.9% vs. 76.1%	A n∦a ate Window		

Time to restaging and surgery

- <u>No International consensus on the optimal interval between TNT</u> <u>and surgery</u>, both European and U.S. guidelines recommend an interval between 6 and 12 weeks.*
- RAPIDO Trial recommending restaging time 8 weeks after radiotherapy to assess poor responders to neoadjuvant with high risk for distant metastasis.

*Wouter H. Zwart., et al , The Multimodal Management of Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer: Making Sense of the New Data, 2022.

- Incidence, Early Onset & Definition
- Multimodality Approach .. How did we start?
- Total Neoadjuvant Therapy Rationale
- Total Neoadjuvant therapy outcomes... Evidence-based
- Watch & Wait approach ... Hope or Hype?
- Personalization.

			Neoadjuva	Timing of as-	Outcomes ^{a)}					
Study, year	Analysis	Patients	Radiotherapy	Chemotherapy	sessment after neoadjuvant treatment	Local regrowth	Salvage treatment after local regrowth	Distant metastasis	OS	DFS
Habr-Gama et al. 2004	Observational retrospective	71/265 (under- went WW)	50.4 Gy/28 fx	5-FU and leucovorin	8 weeks	2 (2.8%)	2/2 (100%) had transanal resection or brachytherapy	-	5-yr rate: 100%	5-yr rate: 92%
Appelt et al.	Observational prospective	40/51 (under- went WW)	60 Gy/30 fx to tumor, 50 Gy/30 fx to elective lymph node volumes, and 5 Gy endorectal brachytherapy boost	Oral tegafur-uracil	6 weeks	9 (22.5%) 2-yr rate: 25.9%	9/9 (100%) had sal- vage surgery	3 (7.5%)	2-yr rate: 100% (in full popu- lation)	-
Renehan et al. 3 2016	Observational mixed pro- spective-ret- rospective	129 (underwent WW) 31/259 plus 98 from WW registry	45 Gy/25 fx	Fluoropyrimi- dine-based chemo- therapy	8 weeks or more	44 (34%)	32/44 (72.7%) had salvage surgery	4 (3.1%)	3-yr rate: 96%	3-yr non-regrowth rate: 88%
Dossa et al.	Meta-analysis	867 from 23 studies (un- derwent WW)	Various	Various	Various	2-yr rate: 15.7%	The pooled propor- tion of patients who had salvage therapy was 95.4%.	-	-	-
	IWWD report Observational mixed pro- spective-ret- rospective	1,009 (under- went WW)	Various	Various	Various	213/880 2-yr rate: 25.2%	Of 148 patients with information, 46 (31%) had local excision and 115 (78%) had salvage TME.	71 (8%)	5-yr rate: 85%	5-yr rate: 94%
Garcia-Agu- ilar et al.	OPRA trial Randomized phase II trial prospective	158 (underwent INCT-CRT, of which 105 underwent WW) 166 (underwent CRT-CNCT, of which 120 underwent WW)	45 Gy/25 fx to pelvic nodes and 50–56 Gy to primary tumor and involved nodes	Concurrent capecit- abine or 5-FU with 8 cycles of FOLFOX or 5 cycles of CAPEOX before or after chemoradiation	8 ± 4 weeks	42/105 (40%): INCT-CRT 33/120 (27.5%): CRT-CNCT	All patients were recommended for TME.	3-yr distant metas- tasis-free survival rate: 84% (in full INCT-CRT group) and 82% (in full CRT-CNCT group)	- ate Wi	3-yr rate: 76% (in full INCT-CRT population) and 75% (in full CRT- CNCT population

Organ Preservation in Patients With Rectal Adenocarcinoma Treated With Total Neoadjuvant Therapy

Three-year DFS was 76% (95% CI, 69 to 84) for the INCT-CRT group and 76% (95% CI, 69 to 83) for the CRT-CNCT group, in line with the 3-year DFS rate (75%) observed historically.

Organ preservation is achievable in half of the patients with rectal cancer treated with total neoadjuvant therapy, without an apparent detriment in survival, compared with historical controls treated with chemoradiotherapy, TME, and postoperative chemotherapy.

	INCT-CRT 7 events CRT-CNCT 9 events							CRT-CNCT 22 events							
	0	'n	2	3	4	5	6		0	'n	2	3	4	5	6
lo. at ris	k:	Time	Since T	reatme	nt Start	(years)		No. at r	isk:	Time	Since T	reatme	nt Start	(years)	
NCT	158	141	109	74	38	11		INCT	158	137	95	64	33	11	
INCT	166	154	115	88	43	15	6	arcia-Agu	ila ¹⁶⁶ l o	tal ¹ ¹ ¹ ⁸ Clir	n Chical	วกีวิว /	៶៲៲៰៓៓៝៓៲៝៝៸៸	10/331.2	516-25

- Incidence, Early Onset & Definition
- Multimodality Approach .. How did we start?
- Total Neoadjuvant Therapy Rationale
- Total Neoadjuvant therapy outcomes... Evidence-based
- Watch & Wait approach ... Hope or Hype?
- Personalization.

Personalization and possible TTT Algorithm

Rationale:

Selective Individualized therapy based on biomarkers and tumor response to minimize treatment related toxicity ,long term morbidity ,preserve lines of treatment in case of recurrence & improve QOL especially in early onset cases.

PROSPECT Trial



Immunotherapy..



لا العجزة 'Tumore ivet vaniebod'، Cancor natients محق المعة المعجزة المعجزة عن الدواء عن الدواء المعجزة

Treatment with the immunotherapy dostarlimab showed promising results in a small trial of more than a dozen rectal cancer patients, according to new research, but further study is needed and it is too early to call it a cure. CNN's Erin Burnett speaks to Dr. Andrea Cercek, an oncologist at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center.

01:38 - Source: CNN

Dostarilimab as Neoadjuvant



Neoadjuvant Immunotherapy for d MMR Rectal Cancer:



Suggested TTT Algorithm



Take Home Message....

- Multidisciplinary Team is the main pillar for best management of rectal cancer.
- Rising incidence for early onset rectal cancer showed the need to maximize the survival benefit in alignment with keeping better QOL and least long term morbidity.
- Personalized Treatment became main approach even in local treatment of rectal cancer .

Thank You!

